South Kilburn Executive Report 20th May 2013

Appendix 6



Formal Consultation with Secure Tenants with Homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn April 2013

Responses to representations on three Proposals:

- 1) Proposal 1: Statutory consultation in connection with seeking approval of the Secretary of State for use of Ground 10A of the Housing Act, 1985
- 2) Proposal 2: Consultation in connection with Intention to make a Compulsory Purchase Order on properties currently occupied by Secure Tenants, South Kilburn
- 3) Proposal 3: Consultation in connection with draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes Phase 2b, South Kilburn

1) Proposal 1: Responses to representations on statutory consultation in connection with seeking approval of the Secretary of State for use of Ground 10A of the Housing Act, 1985

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
1	4 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	I think that as a Secure Tenant it is important that I am involved in the process. In my view it is a good idea to apply to the Secretary of State for formal approval, however, I did believe that the proposal had been already agreed. Again, it is crucial that tenants are kept up to date with the developments.	The Council notes your view that it is a good idea to apply to the Secretary of State for formal approval of the Council's proposed redevelopment scheme for Phase 2b. You note that you thought this approval is already in place; the Council has only previously sought the Secretary of State's consent in relation to Phase 1 of the South Kilburn regeneration programme.	26 th April 2013
2	8 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	I have no comments however agree with this first proposal.	Thank you completing the Comments Form in response to Brent Council consulting you, a Secure Tenant of Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme, on its proposal to apply to the Secretary of State for use of Ground 10A of the Housing Act, 1985. The Council notes your agreement with this proposal. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. Subject to the Executive Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. Please feel free to call me on the number above if you have any queries. If you would like to speak to someone independent of the Council then you can obtain information and advice from First Call (Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on Freephone: 0300 365 7150.	
3	22 nd April 2013	Secure Tenant	I think what you are doing is making life better for everyone [Refers to individual housing needs].	The Council notes your preference to move to a new home along Cambridge Avenue, near Kilburn Park Tube Station. The Suitable Offer of a replacement home made to you and your household with depend on the housing need of you and your household, preferences in terms of location that you may have which are recorded at the Housing Needs Assessment Visit by the South Kilburn Re-housing Team and lastly, the length of your tenancy. The Council takes these factors into account when deciding which Secure Tenant should be allocated which replacement home.	26 th April 2013
4	23 rd April 2013	Secure Tenant	The most of my generation (70s) prefer it where we are. One thing the flats are bigger, whereby the new flats will be smaller. Where are the community centres we were promised mainly to keep the young generation out of mischief? The new flat that I will move to will be smaller than what I have.	The Council notes your comment that most people of your generation (70's) prefer it where you are. The Council understands the impact of Secure Tenants having to move from their current homes in Phase 2b to a replacement home in accordance with the Allocation Policy to enable their current homes to be brought forward for development as part of the South Kilburn regeneration programme is likely to be greater for older Secure Tenants. To	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment			Respon	se		Date of Response
	Representation			v h S r h v s p a c c c s h s a a	will help ear nousing prosecure Ten requirement outsing and with the prosecure Secure Secure Secure Secure Secure Secure Secure Secure and layof the new of existing points of the new of existing points will be a lot size and layof the new of existing points will standards. Secure secure will standards. Size of flat	ach Secure Tenant pocess. This will incomess. This will incomess, informing the dimove process, knoject and move timesidents through the Re-housing Teaditional support and the Tenants when refering a packing are Secure Tenants added a comment at smaller. Each how they are all properties in South the Tenants of the Marker Morris Single be built to the Marker Morris Single below. The revill vary. Parker Morris Standards 44.59	clude identifying heeds and mabout the reseeping them updates and hout the whole mwill be able to nd services to assmoving home. For and unpacking services to have usehold's propertivill the size and lay located. The majous chandards. All new ayor's Design Guide Mayor Design Guide 50	ist vice flats y yout rity r	Response
					2 bed	56.67	61- 70		

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment		Response				Date of Response
					3 bed	79.00	47-95		
				-	4 bed	86.4	90-99		
				co Kill scl pro ma He Th co pro	ommunity Iburn wer heme. The covision of asterplan ealthy Livinere are a community covided se	re promised as pa e masterplan doe f new community does, however, i ing Centre and a r number of existin centres within So	residents of South rt of the regenera es not incorporate centres. The ncorporate a new new combined sch	tion the nool. ntly	

2) Proposal 2: Responses to representations on consultation in connection with Intention to make a Compulsory Purchase Order on properties currently occupied by Secure Tenants, South Kilburn

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
1	7 th March 2013	- Independent	General Comment - We are unaware of	CPO's have been used in many regeneration	27 th March
		Resident	any regeneration scheme where CPO	schemes to end secure tenancies, such as	2013
		Advisor	powers are used to end Secure	Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council, Camp	
		- Tenant	Tenancies. The process whereby a Court	Hill Estate, Nuneaton and in Stockton.	
		Representative	Order is required clearly offers comfort	Unfortunately, we are unable to release Counsel	
			to tenants in instances where a rehousing	advice sought about this matter. It has copyright	
			offer has not been accepted. Therefore,	and can only be used for the purpose for which it	
			we would welcome sight of the Council's	was obtained.	
			legal advice and precedents that will	As you know, in the event that properties currently	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			support this change in policy and loss of "security of tenure".	occupied by secure tenants are acquired by compulsory purchase, we propose to use an independent appeals body to independently resolve disputes as to the suitability of a suitable offer of alternative accommodation. However, the South Kilburn Re-housing Team will continue to work with tenants to agree voluntary relocation in accordance with the Allocation Policy.	
2	2 nd April 2013	Independent Resident Advisor	CPO and Ground 10a – I note your comments on the legal advice and precedent for the use of CPO powers to end secure tenancies. Clearly it would have provided more comfort for ourselves and tenants if CPO powers had been used in this way on one of the major London regeneration schemes. I note that there is copyright on the legal advice, but any general information on the opinion offered and the identified schemes would be most helpful.	We understand that schemes where CPOs have been used before are Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council at Camphill Estate and at a scheme in Stockton. We also understand that this will be the approach taken on the Earls Court Hammersmith and Fulham Scheme in London and that the approach was also adopted for the London Olympics site. Regarding your request for general information on Counsels' advice, we can confirm that we are proceeding as he has advised.	15 th April 2013
3	4 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	I believe this measure should only be used as an extreme last resort. If this measure were to take effect, tenants should be given timely notification and should have all aspects of the Compulsory Purchase Order explained to them clearly and in depth.	With regards to your comments in relation to the formal consultation on the Council's proposal to promote a CPO on properties within Phase 2b, including those currently occupied by Secure Tenants. As stated on page 8 of the booklet sent as part of the consultation, the Council would only use a CPO to recover possession of existing homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b where it needs to be assured the timetable for regeneration could be complied with. This proposal was explained in detail at a presentation given at the	26 th April 2013

No Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			Tenant's Steering Group on 4th April 2013. However, if you were unable to make this meeting, I would be happy to meet with you or any other Secure Tenant within Phase 2b to explain this proposal.	
4 17 th April 2013	Tenant Representative	CPO & Ground 10A Thank you for the clarification. It remains of concern that the "CPO" approach is largely untested and could be open to challenge. We are aware that whilst it may have been under consideration on other regeneration projects, this approach may not have been adopted due to the potential pitfalls. We would hope that the Council has been advised to seek the advice of the Secretary of State before consulting on the proposed twin approach to seeking possession of secure tenant's homes. Right to Return Whilst I understand the problems caused by the Council's underprovision of new 1 bed units, there is no valid reason for the agreed "Right to Return" commitment to be reneged on. It is understood that whilst a new home in Phases 1b or 2a cannot be guaranteed, those residents that are happy with a two phase move could easily have the option of moving to a new 1 bed in a later phase. A very	With regards to your comments on the use of CPO on properties occupied by Secure Tenants and the Right to Return issue; the Council's position on these points was made clear in previous responses. As confirmed in paragraph 7.2 of the draft Allocation Policy for Phase 2b, the Council cannot guarantee that Secure Tenants with a one bedroom housing need will be offered a new home within Phase 1b and Phase 2a Redevelopment Sites, however, they will be made a Suitable Offer of alternative accommodation. With regards to the Stage Two appeal where a CPO is confirmed, we accept that, in order to comply with public law principles and/or the Human Rights Act, any appeals process that the Council operates must be fair and must be operated fairly. Subject to this, however, it is within the Council's discretion to decide on the exact process that it adopts. Essentially, the appeals process that the Council is proposing to adopt is a two stage process that involves a decision by South Kilburn's Re-housing Project Manager in the first instance and, if the Secure Tenant does not agree with the outcome of this initial appeal, an appeal to and decision by a	23 rd April 2013 (Carbon copy sent to Resident Independent Advisor 26 th April 2013)

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			limited number of tenants are likely to	more senior officer within the Council. The Council	
			accept take this option, but it would	is proposing that this more senior officer be the	
			protect the integrity of the "South	South Kilburn Programme Manager and we will	
			Kilburn Offer" as understood by the TSG,	amend the draft Allocations Policy to make this	
			ourselves and the Council's Exec.	clear. In addition, the Council is proposing that,	
			I would welcome the Council's urgent	when the South Kilburn Programme Manager	
			commitment that this remains an option	makes his/her decision, (s)he must consider the	
			for all rehoused South Kilburn tenants.	decision of the independent body.	
			Permanent Moves outside South Kilburn	The Council has taken legal advice on the appeals	
			The point being made was that the	process proposed and is satisfied that it is fair and	
			Council could guarantee the Decent	is not in breach of the Human Rights Act. In	
			Homes Standard being met on Brent	addition, it goes beyond any process that the	
			properties offered outside South Kilburn.	Council is obliged to offer to Secure Tenants	
			Stage One Appeal with or without CPO	(and/or any other resident) for appealing the	
			The appeal process as intended to	Council's offer of suitable alternative	
			accompany the 10a process is in reality	accommodation under CPO legislation or	
			quite distinct from that for the intended	otherwise.	
			CPO approach. If tenants in Phase 1 failed	Paragraph 12.4 of the Allocation Policy has been	
			to fulfil the eligibility requirements for	amended as follows:	
			appealing against an offer, they retained	12.4 Stage Two Appeal: where CPO is confirmed	
			the ability to challenge its suitability at	 If the Secure Tenant wishes to appeal the 	
			the possession hearing.	South Kilburn Re-housing Project Manager's	
			Under the CPO approach, all tenants can	decision and a CPO has been confirmed in relation	
			rely on is the Council's Stage 1 and 2	to the Secure Tenant's existing home, the Secure	
			appeal process, so it is even more	Tenant must do so within 10 working days of	
			essential that it is both fair and	having received such decision by writing to the	
			accessible.	South Kilburn Programme Manager at the address	
			Stage Two Appeal where CPO is	below:	
			confirmed	specify the reasons why they do not agree	
			As Secure Tenants would be losing their	with the South Kilburn Re-housing Project	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			right to have their tenancy determined in court, it is essential that the verdict of the independent body is binding in all cases. If this is not the case, we would regard the appeal process as proposed as a potential breach of Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998.	Manager's decision and → enclose any further evidence to support their belief that the Council's offer is not a Suitable Offer (e.g. medical evidence) and → state that they wish to make a further appeal against the Council's offer. • Further appeals should be sent to: South Kilburn Programme Manager Community Resource Centre, William Dunbar House, Albert Road, Kilburn, NW6 5DE • If a further appeal is received within the allowed time, the South Kilburn Programme Manager will refer the appeal to an independent review body to be appointed by the Council and notified to Secure Tenants prior to any such appeal arising. The independent review body will carefully review the Suitable Offer made to the Secure Tenant and any representation made by the Council and will advise the South Kilburn Programme Manager whether it considers that the Suitable Offer is suitable alternative residential accommodation on reasonable terms within the meaning of section 39 of the Land Compensation Act 1973 within 15 working days of the independent review body receiving from the South Kilburn Programme Manager a copy of the Secure Tenant's further appeal. • Within 10 working days of receiving the view of the independent review body: ➤ the South Kilburn Programme Manager will	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				assess the appeal taking into account the view	
				of the independent review body, the	
				information provided by the Secure Tenant and	
				the individual circumstances of the Secure	
				Tenant and their household and decide whether	
				they agree with the Secure Tenant's further	
				appeal; and	
				the Council will inform the Secure Tenant of	
				the decision of the South Kilburn Programme	
				Manager and, if the South Kilburn Programme	
				Manager agrees with the Secure Tenant's	
				further appeal, the Council will make another	
				offer of an alternative home on the basis that it	
				is a Suitable Offer meeting the needs of the	
				Secure Tenant.	
				 If the independent review body does not 	
				consider that the Suitable Offer is suitable	
				alternative residential accommodation on	
				reasonable terms within the meaning of section 39	
				of the Land Compensation Act 1973, in most cases	
				it is likely that the South Kilburn Programme	
				Manager will agree with the view of the	
				independent review body, agree to the Secure	
				Tenant's further appeal and make an alternative	
				offer. There may be circumstances, however, in	
				which the South Kilburn Programme Manager	
				disagrees with the decision of the independent	
				review body and decides not to do so. If this is the	
				case, when the Council writes to the Secure Tenant	
				to notify them of the outcome of their further	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment		Respon	se		Date of Response
				appeal, the Council will explain why it disagrees with the view of the independent review body. If the independent review body considers that the Suitable Offer is suitable alternative residential accommodation on reasonable terms within the meaning of section 39 of the Land Compensation Act 1973, it is likely that the South Kilburn Programme Manager will agree with the view of the independent review body and not agree to the Secure Tenant's further appeal. Where the South Kilburn Programme Manager does not agree to the Secure Tenant's further appeal, the Council will expect the Secure Tenant to move to the home set out in the Suitable Offer. If the Secure Tenant does not then the Council will take all necessary steps to secure possession of the Secure Tenant's home as summarised at				
5	22 nd April 2013	Secure Tenant	I do hope that everything runs well. I do hope the rooms are as big as what I have at the moment.	You make a comment in relation to the size of the new homes. Each household's property size and layout will vary, as will the size and layout of the new home they are allocated. The majority of existing properties in South Kilburn are at or slightly over Parker Morris Standards. All new homes will be built to the Mayor's Design Guide standards. Please find a summary of the associated sizes below. The room layouts within each unit will vary. Size of Parker Morris Mayor Design				26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response					Date of Response
					flat	Standards	Guide		
					1bed	44.59	50		
					2 bed	56.67	61- 70		
				ľ	3 bed	79.00	47-95		
				İ	4 bed	86.4	90-99		
6	23 rd April 2013	Secure Tenant	A lot of my generation prefer separate WC, not to have them in the bathrooms. [Refers to individual circumstances].	s a t G s	The Councine parate to the require Guide. With second separate provides the country of the count	26 th April 2013			
7	25 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	No 4 on page 8 says the Council must rehouse Secure Tenants. These tenants need to know that there will be another permanent homes nearby if that's what they want. As it stands now the Council cannot guarantee that every tenant in a one bed flat for e.g. will be re-housed in the same; instead, because there aren't enough permanent one bed flats some people will have to wait till 2016/17 at least to get a permanent one bed flat in	r T r p u P b li	Thank you desponse to Tenant of Pegeneration or Tenant of Pegeneration of Pegeneration of Pegeneration your compore-house Secure Tenanting to we	8 th May 2013			

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
			the area. The Secretary of State must be aware that this is the case and only approve the demolition if those tenants that don't want to wait until 2016/17 and beyond can be permanently re-housed in 2014not three years later. This means that everyone who wants the permanent flat in 2013 should be guaranteed it, otherwise their present home shouldn't be demolished. Even though tenants who go into temporary accommodation after the demolition may get a second compensation payment, if a tenant doesn't want to move twice they shouldn't have to. It's clear that there isn't enough new homes for all tenants. (Even though a large number of the new flats that are being built now on land which was used for only Council housing are being sold on the private market, no wonder Council tenants will not get a permanent home after demolition). Don't approve the CPO or demolition until everyone who is wanting permanent, same style accommodation in the area can be allocated it in 2014. Also, those who are willing to go into temporary must have the assurance of a second payment not just the possibility — regardless of how long they're in	housed. Paragraph 7.1 of the draft Allocation Policy confirms that all Secure Tenants living within Phase 2b will be made one Suitable Offer of alternative accommodation. Paragraph 7.2 of the draft Allocation Policy set out the re-housing process and options for Secure Tenants with one bedroom needs and confirms that due to a shortage of certain sized replacement homes, mainly one bedroom homes, the Council cannot guarantee that Secure Tenants living in Phase 2b with one bedroom needs will be offered a new home within Phase 1b and Phase 2a Redevelopment sites. The Secretary of State will be made aware of the one bedroom shortage when the Council's seeks its approval for the use of Ground 10A of the Housing Act, 1985. Secure Tenants with one bedroom housing needs will be made one Suitable Offer of alternative accommodation which would be: 1) within South Kilburn (permanent move) to either a new home on the new developments or to an existing Council property that is not due for demolition; or 2) outside South Kilburn (permanent move); or 3) within South Kilburn (temporary move). This section of the Allocation Policy has been updated to confirm that, where Suitable Offers of permanent alternative accommodation within or outside South Kilburn cannot be identified, Secure Tenants with a one bedroom need will be offered a temporary home within a block due for demolition as part of the South Kilburn regeneration	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			temporary accommodation.	Programme. The Secure Tenant will remain in the temporary home until it is required for demolition as part of the South Kilburn regeneration Programme, at which time the Secure Tenant will be made a Suitable Offer of a new replacement home. This guarantees the Secure Tenant the 'right to return' to a new home on the estate. The draft Allocation Policy also set out information on Suitable Offers of a new home within Phase 1b and Phase 2a redevelopment sites of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. Phase 1b and Phase 2a redevelopment sites comprise homes to be built in Cambridge Avenue, Chichester Road, Rupert Road and the Bronte and Fielding House site as part of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. On 4 th April 2013, at a presentation to the Tenant Steering Group, it was clarified that, if a Secure Tenant in Phase 2b is allocated a permanent new-build home within South Kilburn, it is likely to be located within the Phase 1b redevelopment sites along Cambridge Avenue, Chichester Road and Rupert Road. Therefore, at the time of writing, it is envisaged that Secure Tenants living in Phase 2b will not have to wait until 2016/17 to be made a Suitable Offer of alternative accommodation. You also raise a point regarding a second Home Loss payment to be made Secure Tenants who move into temporary accommodation. As outlined in paragraph 4.7 of the Home Loss and Disturbance Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in South	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				Kilburn (appendix 2 of the draft Allocation Policy),	
				where a Secure Tenant occupies a temporary	
				home for over twelve months then they may be	
				entitled to a second statutory Home Loss Payment	
				and Disturbance Payment once they move to their	
				permanent new home within the South Kilburn	
				regeneration programme. A second payment of	
				Home Loss Payment and Disturbance Payment will	
				only be made if the Secure Tenant has a legal	
				entitlement to a second payment at the time of the	
				Secure Tenant's move to their permanent new	
				home within the South Kilburn regeneration	
				programme.	
				The Council's Executive Committee will consider all	
				of the comments received by the Council in	
				response to the notice of formal consultation from	
				Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House,	
				Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth	
				House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn	
				regeneration programme. The draft Allocation	
				Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b,	
				South Kilburn, which includes the proposal to make	
				a CPO on properties in Phase 2b currently occupied	
				by Secure Tenants, will be updated in light of the	
				comments received and will be presented to the	
				Council's Executive Committee for adoption. A final	
				copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you	
				once it has been adopted.	
				Please feel free to call me on the number above if	
				you have any queries. If you would like to speak to	
				someone independent of the Council then you can	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
	Representation				response
				obtain information and advice from First Call	
				(Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on	
				Freephone: 0300 365 7150.	

3) Proposal 3: Responses to representations on consultation in connection with draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes Phase 2b, South Kilburn

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
1	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	6.2 Change of Circumstances In the previous policy agreed at TSG, the Council could only refuse to consider a change in household size in exceptional circumstances. This would seem a more reasonable response to any changes in housing need.	Having reviewed this internally, we are in agreement to reintroduce the wording relating to exceptional circumstances which was in the Allocation Policy for Phase 1. Paragraph 6.2 of the draft Allocation Policy for Phase 2b now reads as follows: 'The Council reserves the right to refuse to accept any changes to a Secure Tenant's household composition which would mean providing a larger replacement home. However such consideration would only be considered in exceptional circumstances'	27 th March 2013
2	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	7.0 Rehousing Process and Options As discussed yesterday, the document should make clear that all tenants have the option of moving to a new home in South Kilburn. Obviously in some instances this will follow a temporary move on or off the estate. The rehousing policy and accompanying documents	The 'Re-housing Process and Options' section of the draft Allocation Policy has been re-drafted so it firstly deals with secure tenants with a one bedroom housing need and then goes on to deal with secure tenants with a housing need of two bedrooms or more. The 'right to return' of all secure tenants is confirmed in paragraphs 7.2.3 and 7.3.3.	27 th March 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
			should make clear that this "Right to Return" exists.		
3	7 th March 2013	IndependentResidentAdvisorTenantRepresentative	7.3 Suitable Offer outside South Kilburn Are temporary homes decorated or is there a decorations allowance.	Temporary homes in South Kilburn will have normal void works undertaken before being re-let. These works may include decoration, if required. No decoration allowance is provided.	27 th March 2013
4	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	7.4.2 Disturbance Payments Only Home Loss payments are subject to 12 months residence qualification, not disturbance payments.	You are correct in saying there is no 12 month qualifying period applying to disturbance. However, an entitlement to a disturbance payment will be in accordance with Council policy (including the Land Compensation Act 1973). Home Loss Payments and Disturbance Payments have been individually defined in the attached to make this clearer.	27 th March 2013
5	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	7.5.3 Moves outside South Kilburn It is unclear why only this group would need to sign a declaration not to approach Brent in future for rehousing. Not least as they may still be Brent tenants but outside South Kilburn.	Having reviewed this internally, the insertion relating to the signing of a declaration not to approach Brent in future for rehousing has been removed.	27 th March 2013
6	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	9.0 Rent Levels As discussed yesterday, rent levels for new homes will always be higher than those of existing stock even after rent convergence. The document should be amended to reflect this.	Clarification on this point was sought from the Assistant Director of Strategic Finance within the Council who has confirmed that convergence of council rents with target rents is still scheduled for financial year 2015-16. After the Housing Revenue Account settlement between central government and local authorities in April 2012, it is the case, at least for Brent, that convergence at this date has firmed up.	27 th March 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
7	7 th March 2013	IndependentResidentAdvisorTenantRepresentative	 11.0 Disturbance Payments 11.1 As 7.4.2 re Disturbance Payments 11.3: Could add: Removal Expenses (not just van) Replacement/Refitting of Carpets and Curtains Services Disconnection/ Reconnection 	See response to 7.4.2 above The draft Allocation Policy has been amended to include these examples of disturbance payments. Compensation for the second hand value of carpets and curtains where no carpet and curtains are provided in replacement home has been included in the policy rather than replacement/refitting of carpets and curtains.	27 th March 2013
8	7 th March 2013	IndependentResidentAdvisorTenantRepresentative	13.0 Appeals Procedure All tenants should be notified of Appeal timescales with offer letter	Agreed that all tenants should be notified of appeal timescales with their offer letter; the draft Allocation Policy has been updated to reflect this (see paragraphs 12.1 and 12.3 of the draft Allocation Policy).	27 th March 2013
9	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	13.2 – Stage 2 Appeal - No CPO Will Ground 10a proceedings follow an unsuccessful appeal or would Council await confirmation of CPO	Following an unsuccessful appeal where no CPO has been confirmed, the Council will seek possession by Court proceedings under the Housing Act Schedule 2 Ground 10A. This method will be used by the Council for Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, until the Council has confirmation of a CPO in respect of the homes concerned. After that point, and even if the Council are part way through the Ground 10A process, the Council shall proceed to secure possession by agreement or using the compulsory purchase powers derived from the confirmed CPO in place of the Ground 10A process. The only circumstances in which the Council may continue to use the Ground 10A process, following confirmation of a CPO, is if the Council consider that continuing to use the Ground 10A process is likely to result in it recovering possession of the	27 th March 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				property more swiftly than using its compulsory purchase powers. This is confirmed in paragraph 8.1 of the draft Allocation Policy.	
10	7 th March 2013	- Independent Resident Advisor - Tenant Representative	13.4 – Stage 2 Appeal - CPO Obviously this section is in draft form, and you will need to develop further proposals on the nature of the "independent" body, its role and remit.	The appeals section of the draft Allocation Policy has been amended since our meeting on 5 th March 2013. Secure tenants will have their Stage 2 appeals under CPO reviewed by an independent body rather than having a hearing. This is the review mechanism currently utilised by LB Brent for homelessness application appeals. The Council would advocate Secure Tenants having help and support to prepare the documentation to give to the independent body for review.	27 th March 2013
11	28 th March 2013	Tenant Representative	At the meeting I raised the issue of rent convergence and that the information officers were giving members of the Executive and South Kilburn secure tenants was misleading. Thus, in the October 2012 report to the Executive: "3.9 The Executive should note Council rents are set to converge with the HCA Target Rent in the next few years, although inflation rates are likely to determine the pace of this convergence, it is predicted to take place between 2014 -2016." In fact, only rents of similar properties are set to converge. Thus, rents for new build council properties are set to	Target Rents On 18 th July 2011 the Council's Executive agreed to set rent levels at Target Rent levels for affordable development as part of the South Kilburn regeneration programme, to reflect the fact that the programme will not be in receipt of grant funding that was previously relied upon. Instead, homes for rent are cross subsidised by building homes for sale. Charging target rents was seen as the only realistic way of affording the whole regeneration programme without grant funding that would require new 'Affordable Rent', at 80% of market rent, to be charged. Rent Convergence Policy The Government introduced a rent convergence policy to have a sensible pattern of council and housing association rents across the whole	10 th April 2013

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
			converge with rents of new build RSL	country, to address the fact that council rents are	
			properties, but rents for 40 year old	currently below target rents.	
			council properties will never converge	Under the Government's rent convergence policy,	
			with rents of new build RSL properties	all social landlords in England are asked to set their	
			under current rent convergence.	rents in the same way, based on a single formula.	
			It's so obvious really as the formula rent	The process of moving to this single system is	
			is based on a combination of individual	called 'rent restructuring' and convergence is set	
			property values and average earnings in	for 2015-16. The principles for rent convergence	
			each area.	were that the Government wanted the rents	
			Let's be clear, Target Rents for new-	charged by social landlords to be fair and	
			build RSL properties in South Kilburn are	affordable. It believed that their rents should:	
			likely to be 20% to 30% higher than	 remain affordable in the future; generally 	
			council rents for 40 year old properties,	be well below those charged by private	
			and it is important that members of the	landlords;	
			Executive and council tenants affected by	 be linked to the size, location and 	
			the regeneration are clear about this, and	condition of the home; and	
			this is where "The Executive should note	 be similar to rents for other council and 	
			Council rents are set to converge with the	housing association properties of a similar	
			HCA Target Rent in the next few years" is	size, location, and condition.	
			so misleading	After consulting councils, housing associations,	
12	2 nd April 2013	Independent	Rent Levels - Section 9.1 of the	tenants' groups and other interested groups, the	15 th April 2013
		Resident	Rehousing Policy repeats the Council's	Government produced a formula for setting rents.	
		Advisor	fundamental misunderstanding of rent	This formula for setting rents is specifically based	
			convergence. Target rents for existing	upon the value of the property and local earnings	
			Brent properties can never equal or even	and is intended to lead to convergence.	
			approximate to the rent for new RSL	However, once convergence is reached, rent levels	
			homes. If the Council and tenants are not	should be similar for council and Registered	
			clear on this matter, it will undoubtedly	Provider properties of a similar size, location and	
			lead to major issues with the future	condition.	
			rehousing programme.	Rent Convergence & South Kilburn regeneration	

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
	Representation				Response
				programme	
				Rents charged for new build properties such as	
				those being build as part of the South Kilburn	
				regeneration programme are likely to be different	
				to the rents on existing dwellings in the same area.	
				However, the overall rise in rents nevertheless	
				provide good value for money as Secure Tenants	
				are moving into brand new properties.	
				The Council accepts that the information it	
				provided in paragraph 9.1 of the draft Allocation	
				Policy in relation to rent levels of new affordable	
				homes on South Kilburn is not clear for which it	
				apologises. A letter will be issued to all Secure	
				Tenants in the near future seeking to clarify this	
				point.	
				The Council proposes to replace Paragraph 9.1 of	
				the draft Allocation Policy with the following:	
				9.1 'Secure Tenants who are allocated a new	
				replacement home in South Kilburn will pay	
				higher rent than for their current second-	
				hand Council property.	
				This is due to two reasons:	
				 Council rents are below the rents 	
				charged by Registered Providers, which	
				are known as target rents	
				2. The higher value associated with a	
				quality new build home, compared	
				with a lesser quality second-hand	
				Council property, will be reflected in a	
				higher target rent	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				The Council has set rents in accordance with its policy that will lead to Council rents and target rents for properties of a similar size, location and condition becoming more equal by 2016. However, even after 2016, there will be a difference in the rent charged for existing second-hand Council properties in South Kilburn, compared with new homes for rent from Registered Providers, built as part of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. However, the overall rise in rents provide good value for money as Secure Tenants are moving into brand new homes. Secure Tenants who are allocated a new replacement home in South Kilburn under this policy will pay the relevant target rent from the date of relocation'.	·
13	2 nd April 2013	Independent Resident Advisor	Right to Return – As we discussed, the allocation policy needs to make clear that all tenants have the option to move to a new home in South Kilburn. At the moment, Section 7.2.2 implies that the Council can permanently relocate one bedroom tenants in old homes outside South Kilburn at its behest. The allocation policy should clearly state that all tenants have the option of moving to a new home in South Kilburn, although for one bedroom tenants it may need to follow a protracted temporary move. This is how we understand the "Right to Return" as previously agreed with the TSG.	Paragraph 7.2 of the draft Allocation Policy states that, due to a shortage of certain sized replacement homes, mainly new one bedroom homes in Phase 1b and Phase 2a Redevelopment Sites, the Council cannot guarantee that Secure Tenants with one a bedroom housing need will be offered a new home within Phase 1b and Phase 2a Redevelopment Sites. The Council cannot offer a guaranteed 'right to return' to all Secure Tenants with a one bedroom housing need as less one bedroom properties are being built than the existing number of one bedroom properties within South Kilburn. However, all Secure Tenants with a one bedroom housing need will be made one Suitable Offer of alternative accommodation as	15 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
	Representation			follows: 1. Suitable Offer (permanent) within South Kilburn – either on the new development or an existing Council property that is not due for demolition, as outlined in draft Allocation Policy. 2. Suitable Offer (permanent) outside South Kilburn, as outlined in draft Allocation Policy. 3. Suitable Offer (temporary) within South Kilburn – if Suitable Offers of permanent alternative accommodation within or outside South Kilburn cannot be identified, Secure Tenants with a one bedroom housing need will be offered a temporary home within a block that is due for demolition as part of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. The Secure Tenant will remain in the temporary home until it is required for demolition as part of the South Kilburn Regeneration Programme, at which time the Secure Tenant will be made a Suitable Offer of a new replacement home. This guarantees the Secure Tenant the 'right to return' to a new home on the estate. Which of the three Suitable Offers will be made to Secure Tenants, which is at the discretion of the	Response
				Council, will depend the following three factors: 1) The re-housing need of the Secure Tenant	

No	Date of	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of
14	Representation 2 nd April 2013	Independent Resident Advisor	Permanent Moves outside South Kilburn – 7.22 and 7.32 of the draft policy on moving outside the area now qualifies	e.g. one bedroom/two bedrooms or more, medical or other needs; then 2) The preference of the Secure Tenant recorded at the Housing Needs Assessment Visit by the South Kilburn Re-housing Team e.g. if a Secure Tenant wants to move outside South Kilburn; and lastly: 3) The length of tenancy: priority will be given to those Secure Tenants with the longest tenancies. This was not communicated to Secure Tenants in the draft Allocation Policy, which was an omission. The clarification being issued on the Rent Level issue will also include clarification on how the South Kilburn Re-housing Team will make allocations to Secure Tenants, as outlined above. The wording in the updated draft of the Allocation Policy was changed from 'will meet the Decent Homes standard' to 'likely to meet the Decent	Response 15 th April 2013
	and a stage of		whether replacement homes will meet the Decent Homes Standard, whilst the previous draft did not. Can it be explained why this change is necessary?	Homes standard' as the Council cannot guarantee that all replacement homes outside South Kilburn will meet the Decent Homes standard but, they are likely to. Where a Secure Tenant moves to a new home outside South Kilburn, the Council may carry out improvement works to that home that assist the Secure Tenant to settle in. This wording can be included within 7.2.2 and 7.3.2 of the draft Allocation Policy.	ath a stage of
15	2 nd April 2013	Independent	Stage One Appeal with or without CPO	Secure Tenants had ten working days to lodge an	15 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
		Resident Advisor	(12.1 & 12.3) – The 10 day appeal timescale from offer may not always be reasonable in all cases, as it may not prove possible to arrange viewings in the first few days following the offer letter.	appeal under the Allocation Policy for Phase 1. This timescale was not raised as an issue by Secure Tenants. This timescale will remain as ten working days.	
16	2 nd April 2013	Independent Resident Advisor	Stage Two Appeal: where CPO is confirmed (12.4) – It will be of concern to tenants that the Council seeks to reserve the right to disagree with the independent appeals body on making a further offer. It is not clear why this should be necessary, surely the decision of the independent body take precedence or it would seem to be of limited value for tenants.	The Council will be guided by the independent body and the independent body will provide a forum for the Tenant to put its views however the Council will ultimately take the decision which itself will be governed by general law.	15 th April 2013
17	2 nd April 2013	Independent Resident Advisor	Appendix 1 – Schedule of Blocks I understand from the February Exec report that No's 113-128 Carlton House are not now scheduled for demolition.	113 to 128 Carlton House are still scheduled for demolition but not as part of Phase 3 of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. The report to the Executive in February 2013 removed 113 to 136 Carlton House which was included in the definition of Phase 3 in error in the report to the Executive in October 2012. It also sought to include 8 to 14 Neville Close in Phase 3 which was previously excluded. Residents in 113 to 128 Carlton House were written to as part of the consultation with residents and stakeholders on the feasibility study currently being undertaken on the redevelopment of Peel Precinct, 8 to 14 Neville Close and 97 to 112 Carlton House (together defined as 'Peel') to advise	15 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				them that Peel project does not currently include the demolition of 113 to 128 Carlton House. Including 113 to 128 Carlton House within the Peel project may result in the Peel project not being financially viable or deliverable. The impact of including 113 to 128 Carlton House will be carefully assessed during the feasibility study. If the study shows that including 113 to 128 Carlton House within the Peel redevelopment site will have no effect on the financial viability or ability to deliver the Peel project, then it's inclusion in the project may be considered. In accordance with the South Kilburn Masterplan, 113 to 128 Carlton House are currently programmed to be brought forward as part of Phase 4a of the South Kilburn regeneration programme, between 2019-2021.	
18	4 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	With regards to the draft Allocation Policy I believe it is very important that tenants have an insight into the criteria for allocation. It is important that we have an understanding of how homes will be allocated and the factors that will be taken into consideration. Tenants ill need to be confident that allocation of homes is implemented on a consistent and fair basis.	You made comments in response to the consultation on the draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b. You note that it is important that Secure Tenants have an understanding of how the new homes will be allocated. This point was made clear in a follow up letter to all Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b on 17 th April 2013. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. Subject to the Executive	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated in light of the comments received and will be presented to the Council's Executive Committee for adoption. A final copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you once it has been adopted.	
19	5 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	Re points 5.4.2 & 5.4.3 – some clarification is required here. If a couple requires a 2-bed flat for medical reasons they should not be classed as under occupying and therefore should not be liable for the under occupancy charge. This is particularly important as most couples needing 2 bedrooms for reasons of health/disability would be hardest hit by the withdrawal of benefit. It is important therefore that the allocation team should be able to specify to the rent department that people with such problems are not classed as under occupiers and that they have been given a 2-bed flat because of a legitimate need.	Thank you completing the Comments Forms in response to Brent Council consulting you, a Secure Tenant of Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme, to get your views on the draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. Your comments relate to paragraphs 5.4.2 and 5.4.3 of the draft Allocation Policy which is part of the Needs Plus section of the Policy. The size and type of replacement home offered to a Secure Tenant will depend on a Secure Tenant's household make up and any medical issues affecting the Secure Tenant or any household members, as set out in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 of the draft Allocation Policy. [Note regarding medical information submitted]. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated in light of the comments received and will be presented to the Council's Executive Committee for adoption. A final copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you once it has been adopted. Subject to the Executive Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. Please feel free to call me on the number above if you have any queries. If you would like to speak to someone independent of the Council then you can obtain information and advice from First Call (Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on	
20	10 th April 2013	Internal Stakeholders (Brent Housing Partnership and	Paragraph 5.3.2 (where children of different sexes are above the age of 7 years, they will be allocated separate bedrooms) - the DWP calculation is	Paragraph 5.3.2: The Phase 2b Allocation Policy will be amended to align with the borough wide Allocation Policy in relation to the age children of different sexes will	12 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
		Housing Policy)	different to the Council's, and the proposal is to align them. Therefore the gender rule will be boy and girl can share up to the age of 10. Replace 'bedroom tax' with 'size criteria for social housing'.	be expected to share a bedroom. Therefore, the Council proposes the following amendments to Paragraph 5.3.2 of the draft Allocation Policy: Proposed new wording of Paragraph 5.3.2 of the draft Allocation Policy, Phase 2b: 5.3.2 'Children of different sexes will be expected to share a bedroom up to the age of 10. Where children of different sexes are above the age of 10, they will be allocated separate bedrooms'. Paragraph 5.4.2: Replace the wording 'the Government is introducing a bedroom tax as part of welfare reform' with 'the Government has introduced size and criteria for social housing as part of welfare reform'	
21	10 th April 2013	Internal Stakeholders (Brent Housing Partnership and Housing Policy)	Paragraph 5.4 (Needs Plus) – state this exceptional and at the discretion of the Council. Part of the consideration will be based on ability to meet the rent.	To amend Allocation Policy at paragraph 5.4 to state that Needs Plus is exceptional and at the discretion of the Council. As this principle is exceptional, there is no need for it to go to the Allocation Panel. The Council proposes the following amendment to Paragraph 5.4.1 of the draft Allocation Policy: Proposed new wording of Paragraph 5.4.1 of the draft Allocation Policy, Phase 2b: 5.4.1 The Needs Plus principle will only apply to a Secure Tenant living in a home with 3 bedrooms or more where the Secure Tenant's household has more bedrooms than are needed based on the housing needs	12 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
	Representation			assessment criteria set out above. The Needs Plus principle is exceptional and at the discretion of the Council: the offer of one more bedroom than the Secure Tenant would otherwise qualify for will be based on the Secure Tenant's ability to pay the rent on a replacement home larger than they need. The offer is intended as an incentive for the loss of a larger property and adjusts the basic housing needs assessment to offer one bedroom more than the Secure Tenant would otherwise qualify for.	Response
22	22 nd April 2013	Secure Tenant	Is it going to be all electric? It would be nice if gas is a choice we can have. Please let me know long in advance so that we can view the flat. [refers to individual housing preferences].	You also asked if the new homes will be all electric, rather than gas. The majority of the new homes built in South Kilburn will not have a gas supply. All appliances within the new homes will be electric. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. Subject to the Executive Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated in light of the comments received and will be presented to the Council's Executive Committee for adoption. A final copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you once it has been adopted. Please feel free to call me on the number above if you have any queries. If you would like to speak to someone independent of the Council then you can obtain information and advice from First Call (Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on Freephone: 0300 365 7150.	
23	25 th April 2013	Secure Tenant	I do not agree with the Council's proposal to seek to change the age of children of opposite sex sharing a bedroom from the age of 7 to 10 years. I am a secure tenant and I have been paying rent and Council Tax since 1995. Currently I am waiting to be re-housed to 3 bedrooms from March 2014. I would appreciate if the Council consider that I have paid my rent and Council Tax and grant me the chance to be re-housed as promised to 3 bedroom from March 2014. The new proposal should only be to those on benefits for years.	Thank you completing the Comments Form in response to Brent Council consulting you, a Secure Tenant of Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme, to get your views on the draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. Your comments relate to the change to the Allocation Policy for Phase 2b in relation to the age children of different sexes will be expected to share a bedroom. As explained in the follow up letter sent to all Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn on 17 th April 2013, the Council has changed its borough-wide Allocation Policy so it applies the same criteria as the Department of Work and Pensions when assessing the number of bedrooms required by a household. The Allocation Policy for Phase 2b needs to align	26 th April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
		Representor	Comment	with the borough wide Allocation Policy. Therefore, paragraph 5.3.2 of the Allocation Policy has been updated to 'say children of different sexes will be expected to share a bedroom up to the age of 10. Where children of different sexes are above the age of 10 years, they will be allocated separate bedrooms'. You note in your Comments Form that the policy in relation to the age children of different sexes will be expected to share a bedroom should only apply to people on benefits for years. Please be advised that this policy applies to all people, regardless of whether they are on benefits or not. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated in light of the comments received and will be presented to the Council's Executive Committee for adoption. A final	
				copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you once it has been adopted. Please feel free to call me on the number above if you have any queries. If you would like to speak to	
				someone independent of the Council then you can obtain information and advice from First Call (Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on	

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				Freephone: 0300 365 7150.	
24	23 rd April 2013	Secure Tenant	Kilburn is the most central district in London. [Refers to individual circumstances]. All my life I loved Kilburn, so I am happy to remain there.	The Council notes your preference to move to a new home within South Kilburn. The Suitable Offer of a replacement home made to you with depend on your housing need, where you would like to move to which is recorded at the Housing Needs Assessment Visit by the South Kilburn Re-housing Team and lastly, the length of your tenancy. The Council takes these factors into account when deciding which Secure Tenant should be allocated which replacement home. The Council's Executive Committee will consider all of the comments received by the Council in response to the notice of formal consultation from Secure Tenants living with Gloucester House, Durham Court, Masefield House and Wordsworth House, which form Phase 2b of the South Kilburn regeneration programme. Subject to the Executive Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated in light of the comments received and will be	26 th April 2013
				Committee's approval, the Council intends to proceed with its application for consent to the Secretary of State to rely on Ground 10A of Schedule 2 of the Housing Act 1985 to obtain vacant possession of homes occupied by Secure Tenants in Phase 2b, South Kilburn. The Council will write to you again in the coming months to let you know the outcome of this. The draft Allocation Policy for Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn will be updated	

Formal Consultation with Secure Tenants with homes in Phase 2b, South Kilburn Responses to representations, April 2013

No	Date of Representation	Representor	Comment	Response	Date of Response
				for adoption. A final copy of the Allocation Policy will be sent to you once it has been adopted. Please feel free to call me on the number above if you have any queries. If you would like to speak to someone independent of the Council then you can obtain information and advice from First Call (Independent Resident and Tenant Advisor) on Freephone: 0300 365 7150.	·